

# Asymptotic spreading in heterogeneous media

Grégoire Nadin

CNRS, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions

december 11 2009

Joint work with H. Berestycki

# Asymptotic spreading in homogeneous media

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = \mu u(1 - u) \quad (1)$$

## Theorem

(Aronson-Weinberger 78) Assume that  $u_0 = u(0, \cdot)$  is compactly supported and that  $0 \leq u_0 \leq 1$ , then for all  $|e| = 1$ :

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t, wte) = 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq w < w^*, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t, wte) = 0 & \text{if } w > w^*. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

with  $w^* = 2\sqrt{\mu}$ .  $w^*$  is called the spreading speed associated with the equation.

**Remark:** Possible to get a uniform convergence on  $|x| \leq wt$ .

Question : generalization to heterogeneous media.

# The heterogeneous KPP reaction-diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = \mu(x)u(1 - u) \quad (3)$$

$$0 < \inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mu \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mu < +\infty$$

$\mu$  uniformly Holder continuous

**Remark:** Possible to consider heterogeneous diffusion matrix and advection term, more general reaction term, heterogeneity in time.

# Definition of the asymptotic spreading speeds

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = \mu(x)u(1 - u) \text{ in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \text{ compactly supported.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$w_e^* = \sup\{w \geq 0, \forall w' \in [0, w], u(t, w'te) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}$$

$$w_e^{**} = \inf\{w \geq 0, \forall w' \geq w, u(t, w'te) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}$$

## Remarks:

- $w_e^* = w_e^{**} = 2\sqrt{\mu}$  if  $\mu$  is homogeneous
- $0 < w_e^* \leq w_e^{**} < +\infty$  (Berestycki-Hamel-N. 08)
- $w_e^* < w_e^{**}$  in general (Berestycki-N 09)

# Aim of the talk

$$w_e^* = \sup\{w \geq 0, \forall w' \in [0, w], u(t, w'te) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}$$

$$w_e^{**} = \inf\{w \geq 0, \forall w' \geq w, u(t, w'te) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}$$

## Aim of the talk

Find  $\underline{w}_e$  as large as possible and  $\overline{w}_e$  as small as possible so that

$$\underline{w}_e \leq w_e^* \leq w_e^{**} \leq \overline{w}_e.$$

**Aim:** Computation of  $w_e^*$  and  $w_e^{**}$  in simple heterogeneous unbounded media.

- $\mu$  constant at infinity
- $\mu$  periodic

# Earlier works (1) : $\mu$ constant at infinity

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = \mu(x)u(1 - u)$$

$\mu(x) = \mu_0 - b(x)$ ,  $\mu_0 > 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$ ,  $b$  compactly supported.

## Theorem

(Berestycki-Hamel-N 08, Berestycki-N 09)

$$w_e^* = w_e^{**} = 2\sqrt{\mu_0}.$$

**Interpretation:** The spreading speeds only depend on “what happens at infinity”.

## Theorem

Assume that  $\mu$  is periodic in  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . For all  $e \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , there exists a speed  $w_e^*$  such that if  $u_0$  initial datum with compact support,

$$u(t, wte) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq w < w_e^*, \\ 0 & \text{if } w > w_e^*. \end{cases}$$

In other words,  $w_e^* = w_e^{**}$ .

Several proofs:

- Gartner-Freidlin 79, Nolen-Xin 08 (probabilistic tools)
- Weinberger 02 (discrete formalism)
- Berestycki-Hamel-N. 08 (periodic eigenvalues+PDE tools)
- Majda-Souganidis 94 (homogenization techniques)

## Earlier works (2): space-time periodic coefficients

$$\mathcal{L}\phi = \Delta\phi + \mu(x)\phi$$

$$L_p\phi = e^{-p \cdot x} \mathcal{L}(e^{p \cdot x} \phi) = \Delta\phi + 2p \cdot \nabla\phi + (|p|^2 + \mu(x))\phi$$

Set  $\lambda_{per}(L_p)$  the periodic principal eigenvalue of  $L_p$  (Krein-Rutman theory):

$$\begin{cases} L_p\phi = \lambda_{per}(L_p)\phi, \\ \phi > 0, \\ \phi \text{ is periodic.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

### Proposition

$$w_e^* = w_e^{**} = \min_{p \cdot e > 0} \frac{\lambda_{per}(L_p)}{p \cdot e}$$

# Aim of the talk

$$w_e^* = \sup\{w \geq 0, \forall w' \in [0, w], u(t, w'te) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}$$

$$w_e^{**} = \sup\{w \geq 0, \forall w' \geq w, u(t, w'te) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}$$

## Aim of the talk

Find  $\underline{w}_e$  as large as possible and  $\overline{w}_e$  as small as possible so that

$$\underline{w}_e \leq w_e^* \leq w_e^{**} \leq \overline{w}_e.$$

One need to take into account:

- only the values of the coefficients at infinity (*cf coefficients that are constant at infinity*).
- the heterogeneity of these coefficients through “eigenvalues” (*cf periodic coefficients*).

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = \mu(x)u(1 - u) \tag{6}$$

# The main tool: generalized principal eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{L}\phi = \Delta\phi + \mu(x)\phi$$

$$L_p\phi = e^{-p \cdot x} \mathcal{L}(e^{p \cdot x} \phi) = \Delta\phi + 2p \cdot \nabla\phi + (|p|^2 + \mu(x))\phi$$

## Definition

The **generalized principal eigenvalues** associated with the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  in the open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \Omega) &:= \inf\{\lambda \mid \exists \phi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), \\ &\quad \inf_{\Omega} \phi > 0 \text{ and } L_p\phi \leq \lambda\phi \text{ in } \Omega\} \\ \overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \Omega) &:= \sup\{\lambda \mid \exists \phi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), \\ &\quad \inf_{\Omega} \phi > 0 \text{ and } L_p\phi \geq \lambda\phi \text{ in } \Omega\}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

**Remark:** Similar notions introduced by Berestycki-Nirenberg-Varadhan (94), Berestycki-Hamel-Rossi (07), Berestycki-Rossi (06).

# Basic properties of the generalized principal eigenvalues

$$\underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \Omega) := \inf\{\lambda \mid \exists \phi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), \inf_{\Omega} \phi > 0 \text{ and } L_p \phi \leq \lambda \phi \text{ in } \Omega\}$$

$$\overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \Omega) := \sup\{\lambda \mid \exists \phi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), \inf_{\Omega} \phi > 0 \text{ and } L_p \phi \geq \lambda \phi \text{ in } \Omega\}$$

$$\overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \mathbb{R}^N) \geq \overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \Omega) \text{ and } \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \mathbb{R}^N) \leq \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \Omega)$$

## Lemma

For all  $e \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , if  $\Omega$  contains balls of arbitrary radius, one has

$$\overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \Omega) \geq \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \Omega)$$

If  $\mu$  is constant, then  $\overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \mathbb{R}^N) = \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \mathbb{R}^N) = \mu + |p|^2$ .

If  $\mu$  is periodic, then,  $\overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \mathbb{R}^N) = \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \mathbb{R}^N) = \lambda_{per}(L_p)$ .

# Analogy with the periodic case

$$\mathcal{L}\phi := \Delta\phi + \mu(x)\phi \text{ and } L_p\phi := e^{-p \cdot x} \mathcal{L}(e^{p \cdot x} \phi)$$

If  $\mu$  is periodic then  $\overline{\lambda_1}(L_p, \mathbb{R}^N) = \underline{\lambda_1}(L_p, \mathbb{R}^N) = \lambda_{per}(L_p)$ .

Known result:  $w_e^* = w_e^{**} = \min_{p \cdot e > 0} \frac{\lambda_{per}(L_p)}{p \cdot e}$ .

Question: for general heterogeneous  $\mu$ ,  $w_e^* = \min_{p \cdot e > 0} \frac{\lambda_1(L_p, \mathbb{R}^N)}{p \cdot e}$ ?

**Not optimal!** We only need to consider “whats happens at infinity” (cf coefficients that are constant at infinity), i.e. for

$$x > R, R \text{ large in dimension 1.}$$

# The main result in dimension 1

$$\overline{H}(p) := \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, (R, \infty)) \text{ and } \overline{w} = \min_{p>0} \frac{\overline{H}(p)}{p},$$

$$\underline{H}(p) := \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, (R, \infty)) \text{ and } \underline{w} = \min_{p>0} \frac{\underline{H}(p)}{p}.$$

## Theorem

(Berestycki-N. 09) Assume  $N = 1$ . Take  $u_0$  a measurable and compactly supported function such that  $0 \leq u_0 \leq 1$  and  $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ . Then:

- 1) if  $0 \leq w < \underline{w}$ , one has  $u(t, wt) \rightarrow 1$ ,
- 2) if  $w > \overline{w}$ , one has  $u(t, wt) \rightarrow 0$ .

In other words

$$\underline{w} \leq w^* \leq w^{**} \leq \overline{w}.$$

We know that  $w^* = w^{**} = \min_{p>0} \frac{\lambda_{per}(L_p)}{p}$ .

## Proposition

$$\underline{w} = \overline{w} = \min_{p>0} \frac{\lambda_{per}(L_p)}{p}$$

### Proof.

- $\overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \mathbb{R}^N) = \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \mathbb{R}^N) = \lambda_{per}(L_p)$
- $\overline{H}(p) = \underline{H}(p) = \lambda_{per}(L_p)$

□

Question: Possible to get  $\underline{w} = \overline{w} = w^* = w^{**}$  in other frameworks?

$\mu$  is almost periodic.

## Theorem

$$\overline{\lambda}_1(L_\rho, \mathbb{R}) = \underline{\lambda}_1(L_\rho, \mathbb{R})$$

## Corollary

$$\underline{w} = \overline{w} = \min_{\rho > 0} \frac{\overline{\lambda}_1(L_\rho, \mathbb{R})}{\rho}$$

# Asymptotically almost periodic media

Assume that there exists  $\mu^*$  almost periodic such that  $\mu(x) \rightarrow \mu^*(x)$  unif. in  $x > R$  as  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ . Set

$$\mathcal{L}^* = \Delta + \mu^*(x) \text{ and } L_p^* \phi = e^{-p \cdot x} \mathcal{L}^*(e^{p \cdot x} \phi).$$

## Proposition

$$\underline{w} = \bar{w} = \min_{\rho > 0} \frac{\overline{\lambda}_1(L_p^*, \mathbb{R})}{\rho}$$

**Proof.**

$$\overline{H}(\rho) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \overline{\lambda}_1(L_\rho, (R, \infty)) = \overline{\lambda}_1(L_p^*, \mathbb{R})$$

$$\underline{H}(\rho) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{\lambda}_1(L_\rho, (R, \infty)) = \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p^*, \mathbb{R})$$

□

# Generalization to higher dimension: a first result

For all  $e \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$\overline{G}(e, p) := \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \{|x| > R\}),$$

$$\underline{G}(e, p) := \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \{|x| > R\}).$$

If  $\mu$  is periodic  $w_e^* = w_e^{**} = \min_{p \cdot e > 0} \frac{\lambda_{per}(L_p)}{p \cdot e}$ . Define by analogy

$$\underline{v}_e := \min_{p \cdot e > 0} \frac{\underline{G}(e, p)}{p \cdot e}, \quad \overline{v}_e := \min_{p \cdot e > 0} \frac{\overline{G}(e, p)}{p \cdot e}$$

# Generalization to higher dimension: a first result

$$\overline{G}(p) := \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \{|x| > R\}),$$

$$\underline{G}(p) := \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, \{|x| > R\}).$$

$$\underline{v}_e := \min_{p \cdot e > 0} \frac{\underline{G}(p)}{p \cdot e}, \quad \overline{v}_e := \min_{p \cdot e > 0} \frac{\overline{G}(p)}{p \cdot e}$$

## Proposition

One has

$$\underline{v}_e \leq w_e^* \leq w_e^{**} \leq \overline{v}_e.$$

Not optimal!

**Counter-example:**  $\partial_t u - \Delta u = \mu(x)u(1 - u)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mu(x) = \mu_+$  if  $x_1 > 0$ ,  $\mu_-$  if  $x_1 \leq 0$ ,  $\mu_+ > \mu_-$ .

$\underline{v}_e = 2\sqrt{\mu_-}$  and  $\overline{v}_e = 2\sqrt{\mu_+}$ .

# Meaning of “what happens at infinity”

Question: What is the meaning of “what happens at infinity” in dimension  $N$ ?

$$C_{R,\alpha}(e) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, |x| > R, \left| \frac{x}{|x|} - e \right| < \alpha\}$$

$$\overline{H}(e, p) := \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0, R \rightarrow +\infty} \overline{\lambda}_1(L_p, C_{R,\alpha}(e)),$$

$$\underline{H}(e, p) := \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0, R \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{\lambda}_1(L_p, C_{R,\alpha}(e)).$$

$$\underline{w}_e := \min_{p \cdot e > 0} \frac{\underline{H}(e, p)}{p \cdot e}, \quad \overline{w}_e := \min_{p \cdot e > 0} \frac{\overline{H}(e, p)}{p \cdot e}$$

Question:  $\underline{v}_e \leq w_e^* \leq w_e^{**} \leq \overline{v}_e$ ? **No!**

## Proposition

$(e, p) \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \bar{H}(e, p)$  and  $(e, p) \mapsto \underline{H}(e, p)$  are continuous in  $p$  and  $\exists c, C > 0$  independent of  $e$  such that

$$c(1 + |p|^2) \leq \underline{H}(e, p) \leq \bar{H}(e, p) \leq C(1 + |p|^2).$$

Not continuous in  $e$  in general, only locally bounded  $\Rightarrow$  No comparison result, no uniqueness...

# The main result in dimension $N$

## Proposition

There exist  $\bar{Z}$  upper semi-continuous and  $\underline{Z}$  lower semi-continuous that are maximal (resp. minimal) discontinuous viscosity solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \max\{\partial_t \bar{Z} - \bar{H}(\frac{x}{|x|}, \nabla \bar{Z}), \bar{Z}\} = 0 \text{ in } (0, \infty) \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}), \\ \max\{\partial_t \underline{Z} - \underline{H}(\frac{x}{|x|}, \nabla \underline{Z}), \underline{Z}\} = 0 \text{ in } (0, \infty) \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \bar{Z}(t, x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \underline{Z}(t, x) = -\infty \text{ if } x \neq 0, 0 \text{ if } x = 0, \\ \bar{Z}(t, 0) = \underline{Z}(t, 0) = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

**Proof.** Coercivity of the Hamiltonian + Perron's method (Ishii 87)+ comparison results on smoothed HJ equations.  $\square$

# The main result in dimension $N$

## Theorem

(Berestycki-N 09) Take  $u_0$  a measurable and compactly supported function such that  $0 \leq u_0 \leq 1$  and  $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ . Then:

- 1) if  $w \in \text{Int}\{\underline{Z} = 0\}$ , one has  $u(t, wte) \rightarrow 1$ ,
- 2) if  $\bar{Z}(1, w) < 0$ , one has  $u(t, wte) \rightarrow 0$ .

**Applications:** Almost periodic coefficients, asymptotically almost periodic coefficients.  $\mu = \text{Heavyside}$  .